Being an "ADHD" Educated Research Consumer of

Bob Jacobs, Psy. D. and the Youth Affairs Network of Queensland

thinking. Don't accept things as fact just because someone states it strongly or because they have titles and degrees after their name. Use your own common sense, experience and intelligence in There is tremendous controversy around the issue of "ADHD", with lots of passion on all sides. Almost everyone with enough of an interest in "ADHD" to write or research on the subject has a strong point of view. For parents and others trying to read as much as possible and find what feels analysing what is being said, and especially what it really means. like the truth for them it is very important to read things with "healthy skepticism" using critical

There are four general guidelines that educated consumers of information need to be mindful of when reading "research" about "ADHD".

1. Watch out for bad science

Over the past 30 years there have been countless times we have heard about "breakthroughs" in "ADHD" research, and each time the breakthrough has proven to be hollow. Many of these studies have attempted to find differences in the brains of children diagnosed with "ADHD" and "normal children". These studies often had major flaws in their design which cast significant doubt on the validity of their findings.

Specifically, there have been two major problems with ADHD studies. First, many of them studied a very small sample of children, sometimes fewer than ten. It is a rule of the experimental method that you have to have a sufficient random sample for the results of any study to be able to be generalized to the whole population. Second, the "ADHD" children in these samples typically were taking stimulant drugs, or had taken them in the past. Since we know the potential for stimulant drugs to cause brain damage in children, it raised the question of whether any changes in these children's brains were because of "ADHD" or the side effects of these dangerous drugs. Other studies did not bother to report on the medication history of their subjects, making it impossible to determine the causes of any difference in brain structure or chemistry. Finally, more recent research has failed to compare previously unmedicated 'ADHD' children with 'non-ADHD' children of the same age or gender. This is problematic given that brain size is closely associated with age. So comparing the brains of different aged children, whether they are labelled 'normal' or labelled with 'ADHD', will invariably find differences.

If you would like some guidance on reading research reports, YANQ has published a document on it's website entitled "Research Reports for the Non-Scientist" by Rachel Martin. further reading section for more details. See the concise

highlighted with ADHD research. The Key Questions below will help readers to spot some of major problems that have

Key Questions

- Has the research report provided details of the medication history of it's subjects?
- implications for their findings? If yes, do the authors discuss the effects the medication will have on their subjects and the
- ယ Has the research compared similar subjects? weight and sex to the experimental group? For example, is the control group of similar age,

2. Watch out for bias

exactly why bias is the arch-enemy of objective research. Professional journals in the United States have been so concerned about drug companies "buying" researchers that at least two of them have suspended taking ads for these "ADHD" drugs. When you read a study that supports the medical model of "ADHD" or the use of these dangerous drugs, it is worth investigating who paid the money A biased researcher is not a scientific researcher. Objectivity is the essence of the scientific method. The drug companies have sponsored much of the research looking for the existence of "ADHD" over the past three decades, as they want to be able to continue and to expand a business that nets them hundreds of millions of dollars every year. It is a common sense that a researcher supported with a huge grant from a drug company will want to give that company some favourable results. That is for the research to be done

Key Questions to Ask

- Have the authors disclosed any affiliations to companies or organisations that may have an interest in ADHD medication?
- Ņ Have the authors provided information about the funding body (or bodies) behind the research and any relevant affiliations these organisations have?

Which came first: the chicken or the egg?

the chemicals. Similarly, if there ever were a discovery of a consistent difference in brain functioning in children with "ADHD", the question would still remain whether the behaviour caused the difference possible to determine levels of brain chemicals in a living person. Whenever someone is told the have a "chemical imbalance" it is based on theory and "symptoms", not laboratory studies. So if w found differences in brain chemicals between a person who feels depressed and one who doesn't, the brains or central nervous systems of children with "ADHD" and without it. The problem is that even if such a finding were made, it would be impossible to interpret what it means. It is believed that when people run they produce chemicals in their body that cause "runner's high". But how do we know if the running produced the chemicals, or the chemicals caused them to run? It is not medically proceed to determine levels of brain chemicals. or the difference caused the behaviour. would be impossible to determine if the chemicals caused the depression or the depression caused The studies attempting to show that "ADHD" exists have focused on trying to identify differences in Whenever someone is told they So if we

4. The false underlying premise

some physical difference. children with shorter attention spans, or children who don't like doing their homework, tend to have something which will, if proven, be meaningless. over the color blue. But it doesn't make either group "sick". We know that people have individual physical differences, but it is dangerous ground to say that those differences are a "disorder", just because they are in the minority, or because they cause problems with fitting into society's rigid structures (like public school). So all these millions of dollars are being spent to try to prove the behaviours, there is no reason to believe there is any "disorder". There may be physiological differences between people who are right-handed and left-handed, or people who prefer the color red ("ADHD") and children who don't, and even if they could somehow prove that the difference caused Finally, even if researchers found a consistent difference between children who act a certain way That will still not prove that "ADHD" exists as a valid "disorder" Maybe children with higher activity levels, or

Suggestions for Further Reading

ADHD Specific

Books

Armstrong, T. 1997. The Myth of the A.D.D Child: 50 Ways to Improve Your Child's Behavior and Attention Span Without Drugs, Labels, or Coercion. Penguin Books, Ringwood.

Chapter 1 is available online at: http://www.sntp.net/ritalin/myth_1.htm. Chapter 2 is available online at: http://www.sntp.net/ritalin/myth_3.htm. Chapter 3 is available online at: http://www.sntp.net/ritalin/myth_3.htm.

Breggin P. 2001. n P. 2001. Talking Back to Ritalin, Revised : What Doctors Aren't Telling You About Stimulants and ADHD. Perseus Books.

Journal Articles

- Baumeister, A. & Hawkins, M. 2001. Incoherence of Neuroimaging Studies of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. in Clinical Neuropharmacology, Vol. 24
- Cohen, D. & Leo, J. 2004. *An Update on ADHD Neuroimaging Research*. <u>The Journal of Mind and</u> <u>Behaviou</u>r. Vol. 25, No. 2, pp. 161-166.
- Leo, J. . & Cohen, D. 2003. Broken Brains or Flawed Research: A Critical Review of ADHD Neuroimaging Research. in Journal of Mind and Behaviour, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 29-56
- Timimi, S. http://www.yanq.org.au/adhd-timimi_non-toxic_approaches.pdf ADHD and their families: Reflections of a UK clinician. Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 41-52. Available online at: 2004. Developing non-toxic approaches to helping children who could be diagnosed with 1D and their families: Reflections of a UK clinician. Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry
- Timimi, S. 2004. A Critique of the International Consensus Statement on ADHD. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review. Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 59-63.

Other Articles

- Giù Le Mani Dai Bambini. 2005. International Consensus: ADHD and Abuse in the Prescription of Psychopharmaceutical Drugs to Minors. Available Online: http://www.giulemanidaibambini.org/consensus/consensus_en.html.
- International Centre for the Study of Psychiatry and Psychology. 2002. <u>Debunking the Science Behind ADHD as a Brain Disorder</u>. ICSPP. Available online: http://www.icspp.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=37&Itemid=48
- Jacobs, http://www.yanq.org.au/index.pl?page=getdoc&lnk_id=179&doc_id=112 s, B. 2002. Queensland Children at Risk: The Overdiagnosis of "ADHD" and the Overuse of Stimulant Medication. Youth Affairs Network of Queensland, West End. Available online:
- Jacobs, B. s, B. 2004. ADD & ADHD: Epidemic of a Phantom Disease. In <u>Nexus Maga</u> Available online: http://www.nexusmagazine.com/articles/ADHDisbogus.html In Nexus Magazine, Vol. 12 No. 2
- Leo, J. 2000. 63-69 Attention Deficit Disorder: Good Science or Good Marketing? Skeptic. Vol. 8, Nº 1, pp

Websites

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Exposing the Fraud of ADD and ADHD http://www.adhdfraud.com

ADHD as a disease of any sort. site created by Neurologist Fred Bauhman who argues that Psychiatry has failed to validate

Death From Ritalin: The Truth Behind ADHD

http://www.ritalindeath.com

A website created by two parents whose child died as a result of taking drugs prescribed to 'treat'

General Critiques of Psychiatry

Breggin, P. n, P. 1994. Toxic Psychiatry: Why therapy, empathy, and love must replace the drugs, electroshock, and biochemical theories of the "new psychiatry." St Martin's Press.

Cohen, D. (Ed). n, D. (Ed). 1990. Challenging the Therapeutic State: Critical Perspectives on Psychiatry and the Mental Health System (Journal of Mind and Behavior). Institute of Mind & Behavior, New York.

Joseph, J. 2004. The Gene Illusion: Genetic Research in Psychiatry and Psychology under the Microscope. PCCS Books, Ross-on-Wye, UK.

Johnstone, L. Taylor & Francis Group, Philadelphia. 2000. Users and Abusers of Psychiatry: A Critical Look at Psychiatric Practice. **2**nd Ed

Mendelsohn, R. 1979. Confessions of a Medical Heretic. Contemporary Books, Illinois

Rampton, S. & Stauber, J. on, S. & Stauber, J. 2001. <u>Trust Us, We're Experts!:How Industry Manipulates Science and</u>. Gambles with Your Future. Jeremy P. Tarcher/Putnam, New York.

Ross, C. A. 1995. Pseudoscience in Biological Psychiatry: Blaming the Body. John Wiley and Sons

Szasz, T.S. 1997. Health Movement. 1997. The Manufacture of Madness: A Comparative Study of the Inquisition and the Mental Syracuse University Press, Syracuse (Reprinted Edition).

Whitaker, R. Mentally III. Mad in America: Bad Science, Bad Medicine, and the Enduring Mistreatment of the Perseus Books, Cambridge.

Journal Articles

Szasz, T. 1960. *The Myth of Mental Illness*. In American Psychologist, Vol. 15, pp.113-118. Online: http://psychclassics.yorku.ca/Szasz/myth.htm. Available

Other Articles

R. 2005. Research Reports for the Non-Scientist. Youth Affairs Network of Queensland, West End. Available Online at: http://www.yanq.org.au/martin-research_reports_ns.pdf

Moncreiff, J. 2003. Is Psychiatry for Sale? An examination of the influence of the pharmaceutical industry on academic and practical psychiatry. Institute of Psychiatry, London. via http://www.critpsynet.freeuk.com/pharmaceuticalindustry.htm Available Online

Websites

Psychiatric Drug Facts http://www.breggin.com

This website is authored by Dr Peter Breggin, a psychiatrist and author of 'Toxic Psychiatry.' site concentrates on exposing the problems with psychiatric drugs.

International Centre for the Study of Psychiatry and Psychology

http://www.icspp.org/

Includes a number of papers and articles that critique the mental health movement