Paper to the Department of Communities on the importance of the investment in preventative and early intervention strategies to create fair & safe communities in Queensland.

community sector on issues facing young people and their communities. As a peak body we seek to address matters of strategic importance and to work collaboratively with the rights of young people to a more just and fairer society government and non-government sector to develop approaches that will enhance the The Youth Affairs Network of Queensland provides an advocacy role for the youth and

of child safety without addressing the issues that contribute to the building of safer safe communities. In fact, it is quite illogical to try to improve and maintain a high level commitment to both re-active and pro-active responses to child safety and the creation of preventative and early intervention strategies. There needs to be an equitable balance of prove to be a poor decision if the necessary financial investment is not made in increase in the financial investment into tertiary services is to be commended, it will and improve its commitment to preventative and early intervention strategies. Communities provides the QLD Government with an excellent opportunity to enhance have led to the creation of the Department of Child Safety and the Department of The recent report and recommendations of the Crime and Misconduct Commission that communities where our children live.

The historical context of preventative and early intervention strategies in QLD

that governments of all levels and communities in this region have found difficult to deal corner of the state and has created a plethora of social, economic and ecological problems state in Australia. Most of this rapid population growth has occurred in the south-east Queensland has been for over a decade and continues to be the most rapidly growing with. According to the SEQ 2021- A Sustainable Future Discussion Paper on Social Justice & Human Services:

new population will lead to a rapidly changing demographic profile, Gold Coast, Sunshine Coast, Brisbane, Pine Rivers and Redlands. This 20 years, and most of these will live in the urban fringe areas of the One million more people are predicted to be living in SEQ in the next which includes:

- distinct types and volume of need an ageing population, with associated implications of their
- a changing family structure, which will have an associated impact on human service need and housing demand (type, cost, location etc).

(QLD Government 2003:12)

outer-lying areas and "growth corridors", particularly those with situational disadvantage (i.e. having high rates of unemployment, high concentrations of public housing, higher transport, to meet growing demands). than average crime rates, and lower levels of adequate infrastructure, particularly Rapid population growth has placed significant pressure on emerging communities in

such area that was targeted for Community Renewal (see case study). through its Community Renewal Program. The QLD government has recognised this and responded to situational disadvantage Deception Bay in Caboolture Shire is one

and commitment of individuals and groups within disadvantaged communities to have unfortunately, has been unable to grasp the benefits of working in a flatter, less not infiltrate deeply enough into the ranks of Government bureaucracy, which problems facing their community. It is unfortunate that the participatory model used did community that they become empowered and more readily able to respond to the active involvement in decision making. It demonstrates that when people are provided democracy. Whilst the model used has limitations it is useful as an indicator of the desire hierarchical manner. with an opportunity to be part of a decision making process on issues in their own local One of the positive features of the CRP is its experimentation with participatory

disadvantage in some communities. These issues are deeply embedded in the social, our analysis, this is largely because there are several structural issues that embed to the development of social policy and the allocation of resources. improvement could be made by the State Government by taking a longer-term approach levels of the government, corporate and community sectors. economic and political structures of our society, and require bold changes across all been implemented in these areas the problem of situational disadvantage continues. In Despite the good work of the CRP and the successful projects and initiatives that have However, a substantial

significant program or policy approach to enhancing preventative and early intervention been under-resourced to adequately meet the growing demands to provide appropriate strategies in Queensland. The non-government sector in Queensland has, for many years, towards Queensland's poor track record are: preventative and early intervention strategies in Queensland. The key factors contributing disadvantage. An estimated .04% of social welfare spending is directed towards responses to the needs in local communities, particularly those facing situational Unfortunately, apart from the CRP, successive QLD Governments have not provided any

- benefits to the state; the connection between financial investment in these strategies and the long-term early intervention strategies due to a short-term focus and an inability to correlate A lack of understanding in Government of the importance of preventative and
- A lack of commitment to social planning. An example of this is how the Program it piloted in Caboolture Shire between 1996 – 2001, despite the Department of Families (DoF) failed to fund and enhance the Social Infrastructure

- documented success the pilot demonstrated for the community sector, the Caboolture Shire Council and the State Government;
- shelved by the head office in Brisbane; by community agencies and department staff information when the process was Unreliable and short-term approaches by the Department of Families in engaging Planning and developing Local Area Plans that resulted in significant time wasted with the community sector. An example of this is the DoF's attempt at Place
- & staffing to be a credible and reliable partner in developing long-term strategies direction. The DoF has had far too many internal changes in structure, direction provide the community sector with some certainty regarding government is required. This would enable more fluid whole-of-government responses and the Putting Families First Policy Statement is a good starting point but more work The lack of a clear social policy framework. The Crime Prevention Strategy and that will benefit communities;
- community organisations under pressure to perform duties that are not congruent sector that has led to an "outcomes" focus that is short-term. This often places The emergence of the "business model" approach to funding the community to the real needs of the community in which they provide services;
- effectiveness of preventative and early intervention strategies in QLD; The lack of an effective evaluation framework that can monitor and assess the

Prevention rather than cure

services as less people "fall over the cliff" and become victims. The Senate Report on and early intervention strategies in QLD we would see a reduction in the need for tertiary term strategy was put in place that had a significant financial commitment to preventative and therefore attracted the vast majority of social spending. We contend that if a longresponded to community services in QLD. This is where the emphasis has always been fallen off the cliff is quite apt as a metaphor for how successive QLD Governments have poverty alleviation: Poverty & Financial Hardship supports the call for a long-term strategic approach to The analogy of the ambulance at the bottom of the cliff assisting the victims who have

address the underlying issues of disadvantage in order to build a more equitable country" (Commonwealth of Australia 2004:438). need to be delivered within a framework of long-term strategies to services to be available, affordable, inclusive and timely. Services also "A more socially and economically sustainable community requires

supportive communities for families". Unfortunately, funding allocations have not been early childhood and parenting support for all families". In the QLD Government's own Recommendation 43: 11.94 of this report states "That the Commonwealth, in cooperation congruent with such rhetoric. with the States and Territories, develop a comprehensive system of community-based Putting Families First Policy Statement one of the outcomes is the creation of "safe

safer communities in areas facing situational disadvantage Deception Bay A case study in how government assistance can help build

organisations have become the heart of social infrastructure in Deception Bay. the establishment of community based organisations to provide services in Deception Bay: the affordable transport options to access these services in other locations. The early-mid nineties were a time of concentrations of public housing, high levels of unemployment, and high rates of crime including family Neighbourhood Centre in 1992 and Deception Bay Community Youth Programs in 1994. These Deception Bay in the early 1990s exhibited many of the signs of a disadvantaged community with high These issues were exacerbated by the lack of services available in Deception Bay and the lack of

growing sense of resilience was the capacity of the community to attract the Community Renewal Program and residents to embark on community responses to the growing needs. One of the outcomes of this insufficient in comparison to the presenting need, became the launching pad for community organisations Neighbourhood Centre and a Recreation Centre). In the mid-late nineties a range of services, mainly funded by the Department of Families were established Deception Bay (eg. YACCA, Emergency Relief, 60 & This investment by the State government, albeit Better) and facilities were built (eg. A new

and highly strategic plans. At the same time other significant initiatives have seen the establishment of employment services (eg. JPET) and setting up social infrastructure in the form of the Deception Bay Community Council. Before the CRP came to Deception Bay community organisations' efforts focused on 'going fishing' Community Renewal has delivered a wide range of projects to address particular issues and some significant for funding to get the resources needed to meet locally identified needs. Community

- A community-centred approach that recognised the geographical disadvantage of D Bay.
- Involvement of the community in identifying issues, thinking about the future and solving problems.
- Flexible funds available to address need.
- A process that brought diverse government agencies to the table
- and argue constantly for funding. The up-front identification of disadvantage meant a reprieve from having to prove relative disadvantage
- issues and potential initiatives The Community Action Plan has captured the vision of the community, and identified a broad range of
- community cultural development to physical infrastructure. A broad range of specific projects has addressed a range of issues from unemployment to health to

plague communities facing situational disadvantage. government and within each level of government will be required to address the structural ills that seem to community resilience is growing but more time, resources and commitment from across all levels of unemployment can be responded to more effectively. Community capacity building has begun to occur and organisations hope that in this period some of those more difficult issues such as transport, housing and Deception Bay has recently been targeted for another four years of CRP. Residents and community

strategies in Deception Bay is starting to pay off. The full extent of the rewards from such an investment and not be dependent on income support to survive, and where families feel supported when crises emerge have access to good educational & training opportunities, where parents are can afford somewhere to live next generation of children & older people feel safe in the their homes and in the parks, or the teenagers cannot be measured in one year or five years or even ten years – the benefits may not be evident until the However, the investment over the past decade by governments in preventative and early intervention

Solutions

and above ordinary program funding for preventative and early intervention strategies has resulted. Whilst it is certainly acknowledged that there is still much work needed in have occurred the creation of safer communities for families, children and young people Early indications from CRP target areas suggests that where increases in funding over resilient to social dysfunction. CRP targeted areas, initial evidence suggests that these communities have become more

early intervention services to reduce the intensity and numbers of families from crisis. that they face. Many community organisations have built up their services based on a bring about change at a local level. According to Tony Kelly, be empowered to create opportunities and maximise the use of resources available to for engaging with people not simply as clients or recipients of services, but as people to community development framework. Community development is a proven methodology families in need and young people at risk in response to the vast array of social problems The community sector in Queensland has for many years provided quality services for There is significant research that demonstrates the efficacy of funding prevention and

things for themselves in their own way. It is concerned to participate in decisions that will affect their lives - the right to do is based on a set of values. These values emphasise the right of people process that enables maximum decision making for people where they are – at the grass-roots where they live. (Kelly 1994) resources so that they can control their own lives. It emphasises the particularly the poorest -Community Development describes a way of working with people that foremost with poverty and power. It is concerned with giving people the knowledge, skills, opportunity

outcomes because the process has been driven from the "bottom up". Service delivery engage with their communities in program and policy development resulting in robust have been empowered and have a sense of ownership over the outcomes. To remain true successful community development project is for the community or recipient group to employed stronger, more vibrant communities emerge. One compelling indicator of a responsive to addressing problems. When community development methods are planning that includes clients of those services is much more innovative and creative and Utilising a community development methodology, practitioners are able to effectively timeframes, yet the eventual outcome will be much more sustainable because it has come to this approach can sometimes take projects outside of funding guidelines or government been merely paid lip service to or not properly understood by many government from the people. However, for many years the community development approach has

sector agencies that receive government funding to provide community services are and services a commitment to community development is essential. Yet community In order to achieve sustainable outcomes in preventative and early intervention programs

organisations need more liberty undertake the following activities: their own localities are responding to a mandate from a government department funding community organisations that should be responding to the needs and issues arising in continually being reminded that service provision is their core business. The result is To engage in preventative work in their communities funded community

- to determine and understand the scope of the local need,
- to engage with their client group to embrace the skills, knowledge and expertise of the local community and
- to tap into the existing resources of that community.

evaluation processes that complement, not diminish, the community development This approach requires a commitment from Government to develop monitoring and

example of this is the trend of Governments in responding to affordable housing that has intervention approaches the longer and more intense the cycle will repeat itself. An adequately responding to the desperate need for increases for preventative and early The longer that governments continue to allocate resources at the tertiary end without Australia reveals led to a worsening of the situation. According to ACOSS research on housing issues in

- income on rent. There are 330,000 people on low incomes spending more than 30% of their
- housing -- they have given up on the prospect of owning their own home. Over 200,000 people are still waiting for a place in public and community
- (ACOSS 2004). There are around 100,000 homeless people in Australia on any one night

particularly those with situational disadvantage, grapple with the complexity of problems The pressure this places on community sector agencies is immense as communities In Queensland there are an estimated 27,000 people on the waiting list for public housing housing arrangements. that arise for families, children and young people facing homelessness or inadequate

never solve the problem, in fact, the historical evidence suggests that it creates protection, counselling and emergency relief services, and to continue to provide communities. dependency for user groups, which diminishes opportunities for building cohesive supported accommodation services. Whilst these are all necessary, on their own they will The "ambulance" approach to the housing crisis is to boost funding in the areas of child

capacity of its citizens and institutions to respond to and influence the course of social community is one that takes intentional action to enhance the personal and collective resilience in housing would direct funding towards housing co-operatives and community and economic change" (Centre for Community Enterprise, 2000:7). Building community The preventative approach commits to building community resilience. "A resilient

capacity of the community to respond to the needs as they emerge. experience and knowledge of local individuals and groups and invests in building the budgeting, skills in negotiating and mediation, etc. The approach recognises the skills, existing housing to meet the changing needs, micro-financing and credit, micro skills in managed housing, developing local enterprises to build appropriate housing and renovate

Report by Siggins Miller, 2004) expensive tertiary intervention programs. Many studies attest to this point. (see QCOSS services that build resilience has proven to be more cost-effective than providing it reduces the need for more intense and costly interventions. Funding programs & communities become empowered, competent and confident to solve their own problems social order, higher incidences of mutuality and higher levels of self-reliance thus challenges of our rapidly changing society. High levels of social capital leads to greater that communities with high levels of social capital are better equipped to meet the that emerge from them" (Putnam 2001). Putnam, in his book "Bowling Alone: The capital" states, "social capital simply means social networks and the norms of reciprocity communities. Robert Putnam, a leading social researcher who developed the term "social Much has been written on the importance of social capital in developing resilient reducing demand for external intervention in problem solving. When families and Decline and Revival of American Community" demonstrates through rigorous research

governments to assist communities to grow their social capital. resources as a way of levering organisations closer together" (Latham 2001:21). Investing collaboration becomes more likely. In particular, they can use the allocation of public enabler, resourcing the community to enhance its resilience. In the words of Mark financially in preventative and early intervention strategies is the best way for network relationships, they can place organisations in a position where the prospect of The role of government when preventative approaches are given priority becomes that of Latham, current Leader of the Federal Labor Party, "While governments cannot control

Conclusion

continuing to increase at levels that appear to be unsustainable, it is imperative that the governments must come to an end. The newly formed Department of Communities provides an excellent opportunity to rectify this situation. With Queensland's population preventative and early intervention strategies in Queensland that has haunted successive However, the lack of commitment to provide adequate financial resources for recommended in the Crime & Misconduct Commission Report is welcomed by YANQ The QLD Government has taken a huge step towards addressing the problems that have been endemic in the child protection system. The increased allocation of funding as intervention strategies. by a commitment to substantially increase the funding for preventative and early the state. This can only occur within a robust social policy framework that is supported QLD Government be pro-active in assisting the building of resilient communities across

REFERENCES

- KELLY, A (1994) of Social Planning & Development. University of Queensland Extract from lecture "Advanced Community Work Skills" Masters
- PUTNAM, R (2001) **Broadcasting Commission** 2001) "Robert Putnam on Community" Transcript from interview with Geraldine Doogue <u>Life Matters Oct 2001</u> Radio National, Australian
- LATHAM, M (2001) "The New Economy and the New Politics" Botsman, P & Latham, Press. M (eds) The Enabling State – People before Bureaucracy. Sydney: Pluto
- COMMONWELATH OF AUSTRALIA (2004) poverty and financial hardship. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia A hand up not a hand out: Renewing the fight against poverty. Report on "Chapter 18: Future Directions", in
- QUEENSLAND GOVERNMENT (2001) Brisbane: Queensland Government Putting Families First - Policy Statement.
- QUEENSLAND GOVERNMENT (2003) &Options for Social Justice and Human Services Discussion Paper. SEQ 2021 A Sustainable Future – Issues
- THE CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY ENTERPRISE (2000) The Community Resilience **Publications** Manual – A Resource for Rural Recovery & Renewal. Canada: CCE
- AUSTRALIAN COUNCIL OF SOCIAL SERVICES (2004) "Extract from ACOSS presentation to Productivity Commission hearings into First Home Ownership." in QCOSS Email Newsletter, Feb 2004. Brisbane: QCOSS
- MILLER, S (2004) QCOSS The Evidence for Prevention & Early Intervention. Brisbane: